DYNAMICS OF WORK PRESSURE AND SOCIAL SUPPORT IN EDUCATION PERSONNEL

Mila Hariani, Didit Darmawan, Eli Masnawati

Universitas Sunan Giri Surabaya

Correspondence: milamasroni@gmail.com

Abstract

Work pressure among educational personnel within educational organizations places psychological health, mental fatigue, and reduced productivity at the forefront of critical issues requiring managerial and academic attention. This study employs a qualitative literature review approach to synthesize recent research findings on sources of work pressure, including excessive administrative workload, limited control over work methods, insufficient social support, and non-accommodative leadership. The analysis indicates that an imbalance between workload volume and supporting resources increases the risk of burnout and psychological health problems among educational personnel. Effective social support from supervisors and colleagues has been shown to reduce stress levels, enhance self-confidence, and play a vital role in fostering intrinsic motivation as well as institutional loyalty. Low control over work patterns and cumulative administrative pressure negatively affect efficiency, innovation, and the quality of educational services. Practical implications can be formulated in the form of workload audits, strengthening psychosocial training systems, and restructuring reward and supervision frameworks. This study contributes to the development of organizational psychology-based policy models aimed at enhancing mental resilience, productivity, and synergy within the educational ecosystem. The findings underscore that harmonizing work demands with institutional support is a key factor in creating a psychologically healthy and competitive educational work environment.

Keywords: work pressure, educational personnel, social support, psychological health, educational organization, work control, participative leadership.

Introduction

The organizational environment in educational institutions in the 21st century has become increasingly dynamic and high-pressure, amplifying work stress among educational staff as institutional output expectations rise. Bureaucratic complexities, the necessity for technological adaptation, and the requirement to meet increasingly stringent quality standards present significant challenges for administrative and support staff. Inter-institutional competition, fluctuating work ecosystems, and constantly evolving regulations further exacerbate workload, often subjecting human resources within educational organizations to heightened psychological and emotional stress. External factors such as digitalization, demands for transparency, and the emergence of post-pandemic work cultures reinforce the urgency of critically examining work pressure within the educational sector (Verma & Bharti, 2023).

Specifically, individuals employed as educational staff frequently encounter substantial administrative burdens. Tasks requiring high accuracy, strict deadlines, and routine oversight place staff under constant pressure. Many face dual-role responsibilities that demand adaptability and moral accountability to support smooth teaching and learning processes, often with minimal recognition. This underscores the importance of mapping work stress among educational staff to enable institutions to maintain stability and service quality.

Beyond administrative pressure, uncertain work relationships, role ambiguity, and limited career prospects increasingly complicate daily work experiences for educational staff. This phenomenon is reinforced by decision-making processes that are often centralized and minimally inclusive, causing

staff to feel alienated within their institutions. The psychological implications include declining job satisfaction, emotional exhaustion, and progressively decreasing productivity. Contemporary research emphasizes that these issues cannot be ignored and require systematic analysis to inform effective organizational interventions.

Literature on work pressure in educational settings highlights the critical need for integrated attention to psychosocial health, job security, and effective institutional support systems. Imbalances between job demands and supportive resources, insufficient stress intervention programs, and limited managerial support are recurring patterns across global educational contexts. Therefore, a comprehensive understanding of work pressure among educational staff serves as the foundation for designing evidence-based, sustainable interventions that enhance resilience and the long-term performance of educational organizations.

Increasing work pressure correlates with the growing complexity of administrative demands in educational institutions. Noor et al. (2025) indicate that the accumulation of administrative tasks without adequate institutional support generates stress that is difficult for support staff to manage. Prolonged work pressure can lead to emotional instability, chronic stress, and burnout, as described by Mardikaningsih and Sinambela (2022), posing serious threats to operational stability and service quality. This condition, if not addressed with adequate stress management strategies and institutional policies, can reduce work motivation and increase employee turnover rates. Furthermore, this instability has the potential to hinder the achievement of educational goals because the quality of administrative services is the main foundation for the continuity of the teaching and learning process.

Kim, Maijan, and Yeo (2025) identify that workplace stress tends to spill over into personal life, diminishing motivation and weakening the focus on educational administrative service quality. Excessive workload, limited individual control over work planning, and high institutional expectations often leave educational staff feeling trapped, losing meaning in their work, and experiencing severe mental fatigue. This situation is exacerbated by limited access to social support, particularly from supervisors and colleagues, which strongly influences psychological isolation.

Unresponsive leadership and rigid managerial cultures often intensify the psychological pressure experienced by educational support staff. Noor et al. (2025) and Schoger (2025) highlight how low staff participation in strategic decision-making and authoritarian supervision can heighten perceptions of unfairness, reduce trust in the institution, and create interpersonal tension. Consequently, educational staff become more vulnerable to declining performance and higher absenteeism, which negatively impacts the overall quality of educational governance.

Critical examination of work pressure in education is essential because its effects extend beyond individuals to the sustainability and reputation of educational institutions. Weak management of work stress not only causes physical and psychological consequences for staff but also hinders the institution's ability to achieve its vision and mission. Attending to the mental health of administrative staff is thus integral to maintaining overall educational service quality, from individual performance to interdepartmental synergy.

Active involvement of academics and educational management in documenting and analysing work pressure is a strategic step toward developing effective support systems. Empirical evidence indicates that institutions proactively addressing work stress through scientific approaches are better able to retain high-quality human resources, enhance loyalty, and foster healthier work environments. Neglecting work pressure increases turnover risks, reinforces alienation, and undermines the institution's reputation both locally and globally.

Understanding the pressures faced by educational staff opens opportunities for innovative internal policy development. Educational organizations require adaptive frameworks grounded in empirical evidence to ensure that interventions reduce work stress, enhance motivation, and positively impact educational service quality.

This study aims to critically analyse the factors contributing to imbalanced workloads and their effects on mental fatigue, motivation, and psychological health among educational staff. It also explores the influence of administrative pressure, limited control over work methods, and insufficient social support in exacerbating stress, examining the direct implications for teaching quality and overall institutional performance. The findings are expected to enrich scholarly understanding in industrial and organizational psychology while providing practical recommendations for educational management to develop more adaptive and mentally supportive work policies.

Method

A qualitative literature review approach was employed in this study to gain a detailed understanding of work pressure among educational staff within educational organizations. The qualitative literature review provides a framework for critical analysis through the examination of relevant scholarly sources, including books, journals, and published research reports. This approach allows the researcher to interpret, examine, and systematically compare previous study findings according to the characteristics of work stress in the educational domain, ensuring that theory and data interpretation occur in a naturalistic manner while focusing on understanding social phenomena through the lens of human experience (Creswell & Poth, 2016).

Data collection in the qualitative literature study involved systematic searches of accredited academic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, and JSTOR. All selected primary and secondary literature was evaluated against strict criteria for relevance, source authority, and publication novelty. Data analysis was conducted using thematic coding, narrative analysis, and source triangulation to establish meaningful main categories and subcategories (Bowen, 2009). This process was carried out iteratively until data saturation was achieved, enabling the identification of patterns, motifs, and mechanisms influencing the intensity of work pressure among educational staff.

Methodological integrity was ensured through transparency in reporting the literature search, selection, and quality assessment processes. The validity of the analysis was strengthened by integrating perspectives from organizational psychology, human resource management, and educational sociology. Explicit inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied to ensure that the emerging empirical patterns had conceptual validity and were relevant to current issues in educational settings (Boote & Beile, 2005). Based on this solid methodological foundation, the study provides a credible synthesis of scientific knowledge that can be rigorously defended.

Results and Discussion

Factors that causes Mental Fatigue among Educational Staff

Global attention to work pressure experienced by educational staff has emerged as a key issue in organizational psychology and educational management studies over the past decades. Excessive administrative workload is the most frequently highlighted factor in recent literature, where bureaucratic demands, periodic reporting, and digital administration when not balanced by effective support systems contribute to high psychological stress (Noor et al., 2025). Administrative pressure often intersects with increasing institutional expectations regarding accountability, performance achievement, and transparent reporting. Moreover, digitalization processes adopted without careful planning can further complicate daily administrative tasks, as demonstrated by Rojak (2025) in his research on the impact of digital technology in the education sector. This indicates that the burden on educational staff is not merely technical but also structural, reflecting systemic inefficiencies that require institutional reform. Without strategic interventions, prolonged exposure to such pressures risks diminishing both staff well-being and institutional effectiveness. Therefore, research in this field increasingly calls for integrative approaches that combine technological innovation with psychosocial support and adaptive policy frameworks.

Another contributor to mental fatigue is the perceived lack of control over work methods. Many educational staff are not granted flexibility in determining how they perform tasks, and instead face rigid top-down instructions and layered supervision. Douglas et al. (2025) confirm a strong correlation between low autonomy and the emergence of psychological distress, burnout, and reduced job satisfaction. When individuals lack the space to manage workloads independently, the likelihood of experiencing psychological stress intensifies.

The lack of recognition and appreciation for administrative staff performance further exacerbates mental pressure. Educational institutions often prioritize academic achievements, while the contributions of administrative personnel tend to be overlooked. Darmawan et al. (2020) reinforce this finding, showing that inequitable recognition increases the risk of alienation and reduces intrinsic motivation.

External social and cultural changes within the educational environment also amplify existing work pressure. Digital transformation and the evolution of global educational culture require organizational members to continuously adapt to new norms in administration and communication practices. Inability to adapt, coupled with insufficient institutional training and facilitation, increases vulnerability to sustained mental fatigue (Mardikaningsih & Wardoyo, 2024).

Interpersonal relationships with supervisors and colleagues significantly influence the stress levels experienced by administrative staff. Limited social support and uncooperative work practices foster feelings of isolation, intensifying existing psychological pressure. Berglund, Toropova, and Björklund (2025) illustrate that educational workers with minimal peer and leadership support are more susceptible to burnout, productivity loss, and long-term mental health issues.

Theoretical analysis of recent research underscores that work pressure in educational institutions is not a static phenomenon but is heavily influenced by organizational dynamics and the evolving technological ecosystem. Arnold and Rahimi (2025) conclude that factors related to working conditions, resources, and social relationships critically determine the extent to which work pressure can trigger severe mental fatigue.

Excessive administrative workload that is not balanced with adequate support systems impacts the psychosocial well-being and mental health of educational staff. Rojak and Darmawan (2022) note that institutions neglecting workload balance and staff capacity development are vulnerable to reduced loyalty, high absenteeism, and declining service quality. This condition can initiate a negative spiral affecting the entire educational ecosystem, from failing to meet institutional targets to weakening public trust.

Another factor exacerbating pressure is the mismatch between daily work demands and individual capacity to adapt to innovations. Zahid and Darmawan (2025) emphasize that the digital literacy level of educational staff strongly affects their ability to manage work transitions in the digital era. Without adequate training and institutional support, digital transformation becomes a major source of additional pressure, undermining staff vitality.

Leadership and vertical communication patterns remain key determinants of administrative staff mental health. Inclusive leadership and two-way communication have been shown to reduce stress escalation and enhance psychological resilience in educational settings (Corte-real et al., 2021). Conversely, rigid leadership and unilateral instruction exacerbate psychological barriers within the organization.

Mental fatigue resulting from administrative pressure directly affects productivity, administrative service quality, and institutional loyalty. Balancing workload with available support systems not only influences absenteeism but also determines the institution's ability to achieve desired educational quality targets. This underscores the importance of managerial synergy in balancing institutional demands and support.

Effectively managing work pressure can serve as a key factor in fostering a healthy and adaptive organizational culture. Administrative staff who feel supported demonstrate higher capacity to implement innovation, build collaborative networks, and sustainably improve service quality. Intrinsic motivation and psychological well-being among educational staff require institutions to consistently create humanistic and inclusive work environments.

Practically, internal policies within educational institutions need to be redesigned to harmonize workload with available human resource support. Reformulating performance management systems and psychosocial interventions is essential to maintaining staff productivity and institutional competitiveness at national and global levels.

Overall, these challenges highlight the importance of institutional investment in developing soft skills, digital literacy, and psychosocial support systems to strengthen the mental resilience of educational staff in an era of intensive digitalization and modernization.

This discussion reinforces the argument that educational organizations capable of adaptively responding to administrative pressure are more likely to maintain service quality and develop human resources that are both high-performing and psychologically healthy.

Consequences of Social Support and Work Control on Institutional Performance

The working conditions of educational staff in contemporary educational organizations face increasingly complex challenges due to technological advancements, administrative demands, and socio-cultural changes. Educational staff, as a vital component of the educational ecosystem, are responsible not only for administrative tasks but also for supporting the overall operational continuity of educational institutions. Excessive workloads and administrative pressures often overlook the psychological well-being of staff, resulting in risks of fatigue and performance decline.

In an era of rapid change and digitalization, educational staff are required to adapt quickly to innovations and new workflows. Beyond technical skills, they face psychosocial dynamics such as efficiency demands, time pressure, and evolving reporting systems. These external and internal factors interact, intensifying the challenges faced by staff and often leading to significant mental strain. Creating a healthy and supportive work environment has thus become an urgent necessity.

Studies indicate that the work pressure experienced by educational staff is influenced not only by individual factors and workload but also closely linked to organizational systems and culture (Bharathi & Prakash, 2019). A supportive work environment and management policies responsive to staff well-being can act as a protective barrier in maintaining psychological health. Conversely, organizational neglect of these aspects can lead to negative consequences, including decreased motivation, burnout, and mental health disorders, which directly affect the quality of educational services.

The relationship between social support, work control, and stress levels among educational staff has become a central focus in organizational psychology and human resource management. Research by Berglund, Toropova, and Björklund (2025) demonstrates that strengthening social support from supervisors and colleagues significantly reduces stress by enhancing a sense of belonging and psychological safety in the workplace. Conversely, the absence of a robust internal social network fosters isolation, which can result in chronic fatigue and burnout. Poor interpersonal relationships disrupt collective cohesion, which is essential in modern educational institutions.

Rigid administrative management, limited participation in determining work methods, and accumulated workload without fair distribution can trigger job dissatisfaction and decrease intrinsic motivation among educational staff. Douglas et al. (2025) emphasize that low work control directly impairs individual adaptability in responding to institutional dynamics and changing work demands. Staff who perceive little influence over their work patterns are more susceptible to stress, performance decline, and reluctance to remain loyal to their institution.

Reduced social support is also strongly correlated with weakened psychological resilience in coping with administrative pressures. Obeng et al. (2025), in their study of occupational stress in the healthcare sector, found that high administrative demands without collegial support and quality supervision accelerate emotional exhaustion. Similar patterns are observed in educational institutions, where heavy administrative workloads are compounded by non-participative or transactional superior-subordinate communication.

Insufficient psychosocial reinforcement in educational organizations can have severe consequences for institutional performance quality. According to Darmawan et al. (2020), when personnel feel neglected by management, they tend to withdraw and reduce engagement in institutional professional activities. This may result in higher absenteeism, slower administrative processing, and reduced innovation in service delivery. Long-term effects include declining institutional reputation and diminished competitiveness in broader educational contexts.

Low work control reinforces employees' perceptions of insecurity and alienation, increasing the likelihood of chronic stress. Kontar, Rizk, and Jabbour Al Maalouf (2025) confirm a strong correlation between limited work control and low motivation in private educational institutions in Lebanon. Over time, low work control also leads to resistance to change, reduced team collaboration quality, and hindered innovation processes.

Work environments with minimal social support and prone to conflict accelerate negative organizational cycles, reinforcing perceptions of unfair workload distribution and decreased job satisfaction. This aligns with findings by Palumbo, Petrolo, and Manesh (2025), which indicate that dense work schedules without social support generate multiple stressors that impact both professional duties and work-life balance. In educational settings, such disturbances can lead to failure in meeting operational standards and reduced administrative output quality.

Healthy social interactions within teams are essential for fostering cooperative work climates and facilitating knowledge transfer, particularly in dynamic educational environments. Systematic formal and informal support enhances psychological resilience, self-confidence, and intrinsic motivation. Educational organizations that fail to establish social-collaborative support systems are more likely to experience declines in staff collectively and productivity.

From an organizational psychology perspective, adaptive and collaborative work control is a critical pillar for creating a healthy work environment. Participative management allows educational staff to contribute to internal policy decisions, organize workflow, and develop innovations relevant to organizational needs. Corte-Real, Khairi, and Khayru (2021) emphasize the role of transformative leadership in facilitating collective engagement as a key factor in maintaining administrative staff mental health.

Neglecting social support and work control allows destructive internal dynamics to impede workflows. Service quality, efficiency, and organizational innovation degrade without systematic psychosocial synergy. In high-pressure educational institutions, integration among individuals and teams becomes essential as social capital to prevent organizational fragmentation.

Globally, educational institutions that maintain equilibrium between work demands, support systems, and active staff engagement are better positioned to sustain long-term productivity and innovation. Cultivating a collaborative, empowering, and performance-based recognition culture is vital for managing internal dynamics and mitigating the impact of administrative pressure on staff.

In summary, educational organizations need to design policies that promote positive interaction, supportive supervision, and participative work control to preserve staff and institutional performance under high work pressure. This study confirms that institutional policy reform, guided by organizational psychology principles, can generate systemic impacts across all levels of educational organizations.

Practically, administrative pressure management grounded in strengthened social support and work control will differentiate between stagnant institutions and those that grow adaptively in the era of globalization and educational digitalization. Implementation of these principles should be based on open communication, fair performance measurement systems, and evidence-based managerial training to create competitive and psychologically healthy educational organizations.

Conclusion

This study provides a critical mapping of the various factors that trigger work-related stress among educational staff, highlighting how imbalances in administrative workload, limited control over work methods, and weak social support and leadership significantly impact mental health, motivation, and the quality of institutional services. Findings from multiple literature sources confirm that unmanaged administrative pressure, if left without systemic intervention, leads to increased mental fatigue, declining productivity, and erosion of staff loyalty over the long term.

The review emphasizes the importance of managing work-related stress based on organizational psychology principles, particularly through strengthening social support systems, involving educational staff in decision-making processes, and promoting inclusive and participative leadership. Managerial implications today point to the need for educational institutions to redesign internal policies to be more human-centered, provide adaptive supervision, and enhance both digital literacy and psychosocial capacity among administrative personnel. The quality of services and institutional competitiveness can only be sustained if the balance between work demands and institutional support is consistently maintained.

Based on this analysis, recommendations include conducting regular workload audits, implementing psychosocial training programs, and establishing transparent and supportive communication patterns between management and educational staff. Specific guidance underscores the importance of fostering a collaborative work culture, expanding access to digital literacy training, and developing performance-based reward systems to ensure educational staff possess high resilience and sustained motivation to contribute effectively to the organization over time.

Bibliography

Arnold, B., & Rahimi, M. (2025). Teachers' working conditions, wellbeing and retention: an exploratory analysis to identify the key factors associated with teachers' intention to leave. The Australian Educational Researcher, 52(3), 1947-1973.

Berglund, D., Toropova, A., & Björklund, C. (2025). Workplace bullying, stress, burnout, and the role of perceived social support: findings from a Swedish national prevalence study in higher education. European Journal of Higher Education, 15(2), 185-205.

Boote, D. N., & Beile, P. (2005). Scholars before researchers: On the centrality of the dissertation literature review in research preparation. Educational Researcher, 34(6), 3-15.

Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. Qualitative Research Journal, 9(2), 27-40.

Chen, C. C., & Cai, R. (2025). Are robots stealing our jobs? Examining robot-phobia as a job stressor in the hospitality workplace. International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 37(1), 94-112.

Corte-Real, J.M., M. Khairi, & R. K. Khayru. (2021). Effective Leadership Development to Enhance the Capacity of Social Organizations to Respond to Complex Social Challenges, Journal of Social Science Studies, 1(1), 203 – 208.

Creswell, J. W. (2013). Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches (3rd Edition). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Darmawan, D. (2021). Objective Vagueness, Appraisal Bias, and Lack of Employee Involvement in Performance Appraisal and Job Satisfaction in Organizations, Journal of Social Science Studies, 1(1), 117 – 122.

Darmawan, D., R. Mardikaningsih, E. A. Sinambela, S. Arifin, A.R. Putra, M. Hariani, M. Irfan, Y.R. Al Hakim, & F. Issalillah. (2020). The Quality of Human Resources, Job Performance and Employee Loyalty, International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation, 24(3), 2580-2592.

Douglas, V., Pattison, N., Warren, K., & Karanika-Murray, M. (2025). Wellbeing in the higher education sector: A qualitative study of staff perceptions in UK universities. Journal of Workplace Behavioral Health, 40(2), 135-158.

Eddine, B. A. S., M. S. H. B. Ishaq, D. Darmawan, & R. Ali. (2021). Employee Well-being and Performance Evaluation: Integrating Quality of Work Life in HR Management, Journal of Social Science Studies, 1(2), 97 – 104.

Issalillah, F. (2022). Exploring the Neurobehavioral Nexus Between Psychological Stress and Cardiovascular Risk Across Clinical Settings, Journal of Social Science Studies, 2(2), 291 – 298.

Kim, L., Maijan, P., & Yeo, S. F. (2025). Spillover effects of work–family conflict on job consequences influencing work attitudes. Scientific Reports, 15(1), 9115.

Kontar, H., Rizk, N., & Jabbour Al Maalouf, N. (2025). Aspects influencing teacher's motivation in private schools amid the challenging environment in Lebanon. Quality Education for All, 2(1), 190-208.

Mardikaningsih, R. & D. Darmawan (2022). Situational Leadership Strategies to Improve Change Management and Team Performance, Journal of Social Science Studies, 2(1), 247 – 252.

Mardikaningsih, R. & D. T. W. Wardoyo. (2024). The Role of Technology in Human Resource Development for Sustainability: A Literature Review on Digital Innovation, Bulletin of Science, Technology and Society, 3(3), 20-26.

Mardikaningsih, R. & E. A. Sinambela. (2022). Impact of Burnout and Stress on Employee Satisfaction in Work, International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology, 2(1), 21–25.

Noor, S., Aslam, A., & Md Isa, F. (2025). Causes of occupational stress and burnout amongst administrative staff in public universities: case of Pakistan. Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education, 17(4), 1275-1293.

Obeng, H. A., Arhinful, R., Tessema, D. H., & Nuhu, J. A. (2025). The mediating role of organisational stress in the relationship between gender diversity and employee performance in Ghanaian public hospitals. Future Business Journal, 11(1), 38.

Palumbo, R., Petrolo, D., & Manesh, M. F. (2025). Stressed at work and distressed out of work: Unveiling the implications of time-related work stress on work climate in the nonprofit sector. Journal of general management, 50(2), 112-125.

Prakash, H. (2019). A Conceptual Study on Factors Leading to Stress and its Impact on Productivity with Special Reference to Teachers in Higher Education. International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development.

Rojak, J. A. (2025). Digital Technology as a Driver of Innovative Culture and Creative Engagement of Higher Education Human Resources, International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology, 7(3), 11 – 17.

Rojak, J. A., & D. Darmawan. (2022). Strategies for Elevating Employee Performance: Focusing on Work Life Quality and Self-Efficacy Development. Journal of Science, Technology and Society, 3(2), 42-48.

Schoger, L. I. (2025). Coping with work-related stressors: does education reduce work-related stress?. Journal of Public Health, 33(5), 1123-1134.

Verma, R., & Bharti, U. (2023). Organizational Stress in India's Educational Sector. Veethika: An International Interdisciplinary Research Journal. https://doi.org/10.48001/veethika.2023.09.02.005

Zahid, R.A. & D. Darmawan. (2025). Reconceptualizing Digital Literacy Dimensions As Predictors Of Academic Engagement and Success In Contemporary Higher Education International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology, 7(3), 18-24.