

EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT AND DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION: EFFECTS ON ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE AMID DISRUPTION

Arif Rachman Putra, Samsul Arifin

Universitas Sunan Giri Surabaya

Correspondence: dr.arifrachmanputra@gmail.com

Abstract

This study examines employee engagement using a qualitative literature review approach, focusing on the relationship between engagement and organizational performance. Multi-source analysis indicates that employees' emotional and psychological attachment has a direct impact on performance, innovation, loyalty, and overall institutional effectiveness. Key drivers of employee engagement include adaptive work environments, support for work-life balance, and recognition of creativity, transparent reward systems, and transformational leadership. Furthermore, digitalization, hybrid working arrangements, and participatory practices enhance engagement across generational and cultural differences. Equitable reward management, tolerance, and involvement in strategic decision-making contribute to building more resilient and creative teams. However, work pressure, overload, and unclear career development pathways present significant risks for disengagement, highlighting the need for innovative organizational responses. These findings underscore the strategic importance of evidence-based HRM policies, systematic training programs, and psychological support systems to sustain high levels of engagement and maximize contributions to organizational success. This review also offers practical insights for HR policy development and recommendations for enhancing organizational strategy, providing a constructive reference for future research and practice in employee engagement and performance management.

Keywords: Employee Engagement, Organizational Performance, Work Environment, Career Development, HR Digitalization, Transformational Leadership, Work-Life Balance.

Introduction

Scientific attention to employee engagement has increased significantly alongside rapid organizational transformations. Global changes driven by digitalization, technological advances, and complex work structures demand that organizational leaders cultivate strong psychological attachment among employees. Classical transactional views of the employee-organization relationship have shifted toward approaches emphasizing well-being and job satisfaction as foundations for competitive advantage (Kromah et al., 2019). Engagement thus emerges as a critical catalyst for sustainable, high-performance organizations.

Employee engagement enhances energy, persistence, and dedication to organizational tasks. Theoretical and empirical studies indicate that organizations prioritizing quality interactions, emotional commitment, and employees' sense of ownership foster proactive, innovative, and adaptive work cultures (Helalat et al., 2025; Malik et al., 2024). Transformational leadership, in particular, correlates positively with performance by increasing engagement levels. Engagement functions as a mediator between managerial vision, leadership quality, and organizational output.

Key determinants of engagement include supportive work environments, alignment of HR practices with digitalization, leadership, and organizational culture (Jangbahadur et al., 2025; Putra & Mardikaningsih, 2022). Diversity in generational and demographic backgrounds further influences engagement dynamics, highlighting the need for flexible, inclusive approaches to accommodate varying expectations and work values (Mardikaningsih & Sinambela, 2022; Riza et al., 2025).

Misalignment between employee expectations and organizational culture can trigger disengagement, reducing productivity and loyalty.

Challenges to maintaining engagement include work pressure, task overload, unclear career development, burnout, and inadequate reward systems (Darmawan, 2021; Dutta & Mishra, 2025). These issues are exacerbated by rapid technological changes, hybrid work arrangements, and shifting employee expectations for meaningful work. Low engagement diminishes intrinsic motivation, decreases performance, and weakens employees' sense of belonging to the organization.

In increasingly competitive and disruptive environments, engagement is not merely a productivity metric but a strategic foundation for long-term organizational advantage. Organizations that neglect engagement risk high turnover, reduced loyalty, and declining innovation capacity. High levels of employee engagement promote participative, responsive, and empowering work cultures, strengthening the organization's adaptive capabilities.

This study aims to critically examine the relationship between employees' emotional and psychological attachment and organizational performance, while identifying work environment factors and development systems that influence engagement positively or negatively. The findings are expected to provide evidence-based insights for HR policy, leadership strategies, and organizational practices to build a competitive, resilient workforce in dynamic business environments.

Method

This study employs a qualitative literature review approach as the foundation for analyzing the relationship between employee engagement and organizational performance. The qualitative literature approach enables researchers to identify, synthesize, and interpret data from various academic sources, thereby building a comprehensive understanding of employee engagement patterns across different organizational contexts. The literature search process is conducted systematically, including the retrieval of accredited journal articles, key reference books, and relevant international research reports. Silverman (2021) and Creswell (2018) emphasize that this approach is highly effective for exploring meaning and interpretation, as well as developing theoretical concepts that can be further tested through field research.

The analysis strategy begins with the collection of references that meet criteria for relevance and credibility, both through electronic databases such as Scopus and JSTOR, as well as manual reviews of journals published by reputable higher education institutions. The literature is critically examined with an emphasis on methodological quality and the depth of theoretical contribution. The data obtained are then categorized according to main themes and subthemes, such as leadership models, organizational culture, reward and development systems, and interpersonal dynamics in the workplace (Bryman, 2015). Data triangulation from multiple sources is applied to enhance the accuracy of findings and eliminate interpretive bias.

The next stage involves a narrative synthesis process, in which all literature findings are integrated through thematic analysis to construct solid arguments and conceptual frameworks. Researchers identify patterns of relationships between employee engagement variables and organizational outcomes, without overlooking the unique perspectives that emerge from each source. Saldana (2016) explains that in qualitative research, reflective and analytical processes are crucial to ensure that interpretations are not merely descriptive but provide original theoretical insights that can be practically applied to organizational policy.

Results and Discussion

The Impact of Emotional and Psychological Engagement on Performance

Scientific analysis of employee engagement emphasizes that emotional and psychological engagement is a primary predictor of organizational performance. Engagement theories, such as those developed by Kahn, stress the importance of fulfilling psychological needs, a sense of safety, and meaningful work as vital prerequisites for full individual involvement in organizational roles. Saks (2006) confirmed that the emotional and psychological dimensions serve as a direct link between job satisfaction and loyalty, ultimately influencing proactive behaviour and tangible contributions toward organizational goals. Engagement is not merely the outcome of mechanistic reward systems but results from the synergy of meaningful work experiences, two-way communication, and a work atmosphere that supports employees' aspirations and self-actualization.

Modern empirical studies assert that organizations capable of fostering an inclusive work climate and valuing employees' emotional dimensions tend to exhibit increased productivity. Saks and Gruman (2014) highlight a strong correlation between employees' psychological integration and organizational outcomes, where engagement becomes a key factor in successful managerial change and sustainable innovation. Environments that provide space for idea expression, clearly defined roles, and recognition of achievements motivate employees to work diligently and remain longer in the organization. This dimension is particularly important given the increasingly competitive business environment, which requires organizations to rely on high-performing human resources motivated intrinsically.

Research by Boxall, Guthrie, and Paauwe (2016) and Bakker and Demerouti (2008) further demonstrates that emotional engagement fosters affective commitment to organizational vision, cultivates a sense of ownership, and strengthens social relationships in the workplace. Psychologically connected employees derive meaning from their work activities, are less prone to fatigue, and adapt more responsively to policy changes or external pressures. Bakker and Demerouti's Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model provides a crucial framework linking job resources and demands as determinants of engagement and performance.

Additionally, transformative leadership significantly impacts engagement and employee performance. Bass and Riggio (2006) show that transformational leadership not only enhances intrinsic motivation but also fosters a sense of belonging and active participation in achieving strategic goals. Organizations that build a climate of trust and respect for differences can strengthen emotional engagement, resulting in measurable collective performance improvements.

In practice, emotional engagement serves as a natural filter for managing stress and mitigating burnout risk. Employees who feel valued, heard, and granted autonomy in task execution are more resilient to pressure, reducing turnover intentions and extending commitment. Macey and Schneider (2008) found that engagement positively correlates with well-being, psychological safety, and team effectiveness, which also facilitates innovation and cross-departmental collaboration through increased trust and knowledge-sharing (Darmawan, 2024).

Psychological engagement dynamics are closely related to organizational justice and perceived policy transparency. Cropanzano and Wright (2001) argue that fairness in promotions, rewards, and decision-making forms the foundation of engagement; neglecting these aspects can lead to disengagement and task apathy. Gallup (2017) longitudinal studies indicate that organizations with high engagement consistently outperform industry benchmarks financially. Global Gallup data show that companies in the top engagement quartile are 21% more productive than those in the lowest quartile.

Digital transformation and the adoption of new technologies create both challenges and opportunities for engagement. Saks (2006) and Biswakarma & Subedi (2025) note that digital platforms and online collaboration can enhance the sense of belonging, provided they are accompanied by training, mentoring, and strengthened interpersonal communication. However, technology can also foster alienation and diminish human touch if organizational policies do not support work-life integration and reaffirm human-centered values (Arifin et al., 2021).

Emotional engagement also has strategic value for organizations aiming to reduce absenteeism, lateness, and unethical behavior. Christian, Garza, and Slaughter's (2011) meta-analysis shows that engagement mediates the impact of the work environment on initiative behavior, extra-role motivation, and innovative solutions to structural problems. Emotional engagement serves as a psychological resource to resist change, enhance adaptability, and accelerate group learning processes.

Employee engagement is also closely tied to wellness and reward management practices. Newman, Joseph, and Choi (2017) explain that successful recognition programs, career pathing, and work flexibility consistently increase the sense of fulfillment, which in turn drives quantitative and qualitative performance improvements. Implementing work-life balance policies not only supports engagement but also reduces role conflict (work-family conflict), which has historically exacerbated absenteeism and employee grievances in both public and private sectors.

From a practical standpoint, strengthening engagement should rely on open dialogue, direct feedback, and reformulated performance indicators oriented toward developing employees' psychological competencies. Organizations that continuously improve the work environment, provide skill development access, and build fair reward systems are more effective in accelerating growth and profitability (Harter et al., 2002). In a multigenerational workforce, engagement is a key instrument for fostering collective identity and reinforcing cross-functional synergy.

Case studies in global companies show that building emotional engagement cannot be done partially or seasonally. Engagement grows through prolonged processes, consistent values, and cross-unit collaboration. Companies such as Google and Unilever employ employee listening strategies, mentoring, and significant investment in character development, demonstrating that engagement becomes a core advantage that is difficult to replicate.

Finally, the interaction between individual and organizational variables simultaneously forms a dynamic engagement ecosystem. Successfully fostering emotional engagement leads to long-term outcomes in innovation, loyalty, and institutional reputation amid global competition. Achieving optimal performance is not coincidental but the logical consequence of structured policies and humane practices that respect the dignity of every team member. Emotional and psychological engagement is not merely a rhetorical concept but a determining factor that explains performance variations across organizations. Sustainable performance cannot be achieved without serious investment in human resources, cultivating a climate of trust, and strengthening emotional relationships at all organizational levels.

Work Environment, Organizational Development, and Employee Engagement

A conducive work environment and structured development system are closely linked to the level of employee engagement in modern organizations. Specifically, a workplace that supports participation, open communication, recognition of creativity, and professional growth opportunities has been proven to enhance employees' commitment and emotional attachment. Rojak (2025) concludes that digital technologies adopted with innovative strategies also strengthen collaborative culture and stimulate creative ideas, creating new synergies between individuals and organizational goals. Sibhatu, Debela, and Kero (2025) emphasize that employee engagement mediates the impact of green HRM practices on the sustainability of organizations oriented toward long-term goals.

Moreover, a participatory and decentralized organizational management structure acts as a primary catalyst in the engagement process, as described by Riza, Hutahayan, and Chong (2025). A culture of participation increases opportunities for employees to contribute to strategic decision-making, reinforces their sense of ownership, and boosts loyalty to the company. Similar findings are reported by Jangbahadur et al. (2025) in their exploration of AI-based HRM, highlighting the synergy between digital platform innovations and strengthening employees' psychological engagement. Adaptive organizations that leverage digitalization and implement agile management models are more resilient in fostering cross-functional and cross-generational engagement.

Transformational and situational leadership practices are increasingly necessary in times of uncertainty. Helalat et al. (2025) and Putra & Mardikaningsih (2022) assert that visionary leadership provides development space for every individual, prioritizes well-being and recognition of achievements, and strengthens trust among organizational members. Consequently, a work environment that nurtures mutual respect and transparency encourages higher employee engagement, directly impacting organizational indicators in productivity, creativity, and managerial process effectiveness.

Across studies, managing the balance between work and personal life is highlighted as critical for fostering engagement. Hariani & Mardikaningsih (2025) underline that flexible work schedules and recognition of personal needs are key to increasing satisfaction, loyalty, and commitment. When companies provide opportunities for personal development through training, mentorship, and career development programs, work motivation and productivity accelerate significantly. Riza et al. (2025) add that HR policy innovations should empower employees rather than constrain them. An open work environment supports cross-disciplinary collaboration, expands creative space, and accelerates organizational adaptation to ever-changing market demands.

Organizational fairness, transparent performance evaluation systems, and objective rewards fundamentally affect engagement. Eddine et al. (2021) and Darmawan (2021) demonstrate that perceptions of fairness in all aspects from promotions to training build trust and sustain long-term engagement. Zahid & Darmawan (2025) highlight the importance of digital literacy in fostering engagement within both academic and corporate environments. Information transparency and equitable learning opportunities produce adaptive employees with a growth mindset and innovation-oriented approach.

Engagement is further reinforced by the quality of internal communication, both vertical and horizontal. Marampa, Pongtuluran, and Pariyanti (2025) find that psychological empowerment is implemented through open communication between supervisors and subordinates. When employees feel heard and valued, self-efficacy and collective confidence in task completion are strengthened. Malik et al. (2024) and Aldabbas et al. (2025) emphasize the importance of intrinsic rewards for building engagement, particularly through recognition of achievements and long-term motivation development in creative domains.

Hariani & Mardikaningsih (2024) underscore the relevance of engagement in sustainability initiatives. Organizations cultivating environmentally friendly work cultures and integrating sustainability values into core business practices are more capable of maintaining engagement. Sibhatu et al. (2025) recommend strengthening green HRM and CSR practices as strategic approaches to align employees with shared goals. Progressive, inclusive, and well-being-oriented workplaces enhance retention while improving institutional reputation among the public.

High-pressure work conditions, burnout, and overload are major causes of declining engagement. Mardikaningsih & Sinambela (2022) stress the importance of preventive interventions such as fair workload management, access to counselling services, and employee involvement in workflow improvements. Putra & Mardikaningsih (2022) conclude that the effectiveness of innovative work models and cross-departmental synergy is strongly influenced by reward systems and participative leadership integration. When organizations fail to provide personal development space, disengagement arises, negatively impacting the institution's bottom line.

Digitalization of work processes adds new challenges to engagement, where hybrid working, virtual collaboration, and AI adoption require leadership approaches and data-driven engagement programs. Dutta & Mishra (2025) and Saxena & Mishra (2025) emphasize the need to optimize virtual interactions to maintain connectedness and minimize digital isolation. Developing a digital work ecosystem must be monitored with guidance and integration programs to sustain high engagement levels.

Cross-cultural and multigenerational collaboration also demands tailored engagement approaches. Iqbal & Parray (2025) note that reinforcing inclusion values, recognizing cultural differences, and mapping individual needs strengthen team cohesion and loyalty. Absence of these factors often leads to social conflict, disengagement, and higher turnover. Pakpahan, Darmawan, & Rojak (2022) also highlight the importance of harmonizing collective identity and inclusion as binding aspects in diverse institutions.

Adoption of technology and innovative training platforms such as gamification and virtual training accelerates engagement, as described by Eddine & Darmawan (2022). Interactive training programs aligned with career needs create enjoyable and productive work experiences. Sibhatu et al. (2025) and Zulkarnain et al. (2021) further add that participation in community programs or social initiatives integrated into HR policy strengthens engagement and employees' collective identity with the organization's long-term goals.

Critically, engagement should never be understood as a universal concept applied uniformly across all business units. Industry dynamics, workforce heterogeneity, and regulatory complexity necessitate evidence-based engagement policies and stakeholder participation. Each institution must reevaluate its development, reward, and internal communication systems to identify crucial engagement drivers relevant to its specific needs.

In the long term, building a dynamic work environment and development system requires consistent effort, continuous evaluation, and visionary leadership. Strengthening engagement is a cultural transformation process demanding investment of time, energy, and strategic commitment. Organizations that provide opportunities for self-actualization, talent pool development, and consistent intrinsic recognition are more adaptive, innovative, and capable of facing disruptive challenges.

Finally, organizations must recognize that engagement is a strategic investment, not a temporary program. Human capital-oriented policy planning, digital empowerment, and strengthening of the work environment must be implemented in an integrated manner to achieve sustainable, relevant, and competitive organizational outcomes.

Conclusion

The conclusion of this discussion highlights employee engagement as a determining factor in achieving organizational goals, both emotionally and psychologically. Engagement, strengthened by a supportive work environment, adaptive development systems, and evidence-based managerial practices, has been shown to enhance productivity, loyalty, and innovation. Employee involvement serves as a foundational element for creating a proactive and adaptive work atmosphere amidst the challenges of digitalization and evolving workforce values.

The implications for organizations underscore the need for sustained investment in developing a work ecosystem that is accommodating, fair, and responsive to both the personal and professional needs of every employee. Companies that cultivate engagement through human-centric and evidence-based policies are better equipped to navigate the challenges of the VUCA era (volatility, uncertainty, complexity, ambiguity). Training programs, employee participation in strategic decision making, and proportional adoption of digital technologies are essential requirements for institutional advancement today.

Recommendations include conducting regular evaluations of employee engagement indicators, ensuring open communication across all organizational levels, and strengthening performance and career development based reward systems. Instilling values of inclusion, promoting psychological empowerment, and investing in individual well-being must be implemented consistently to maintain engagement and ensure increasingly sustainable corporate profitability.

Bibliography

- Aldabbas, H., Pinnington, A., Lahrech, A., & Blaique, L. (2025). Extrinsic rewards for employee creativity? The role of perceived organisational support, work engagement and intrinsic motivation. *International Journal of Innovation Science*, 17(2), 237–260. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJIS-08-2022-0165>
- Arifin, S., Irfan, M., & Darmawan, D. (2021). How to Establish Work-Life Balance to Enhance Employee Job Satisfaction. *Journal Of Social Sciences Studies*, 1(2), 21–24.
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2008). Towards a model of work engagement. *Career Development International*, 13(3), 209–223. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13620430810870476>
- Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational leadership* (second edi). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.
- Biswakarma, G., & Subedi, K. (2025). The mediating role of employee engagement on the relationship between learning culture and employee performance in service sector. *The Learning Organization*, 32(2), 259–281. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TLO-09-2023-0178>
- Boxall, P., Guthrie, J. P., & Paauwe, J. (2016). Editorial introduction: progressing our understanding of the mediating variables linking HRM, employee well-being and organisational performance. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 26(2), 103–111.
- Bryman, A. (2015). *Social Research Methods* (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Christian, M. S., Garza, A. S., & Slaughter, J. E. (2011). Work Engagement: A Quantitative Review and Test of Its Relations with Task and Contextual Performance. *Personnel Psychology*, 64(1), 89–136. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2010.01203.x>
- Creswell, J. W. (2018). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches* (Fifth). Sage Publications, Inc.
- Cropanzano, R., & Wright, T. A. (2001). When a “happy” worker is really a “productive” worker: A review and further refinement of the happy-productive worker thesis. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 53(3), 182–199. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1061-4087.53.3.182>
- Darmawan, D. (2021). Objective Vagueness, Appraisal Bias, and Lack of Employee Involvement in Performance Appraisal and Job Satisfaction in Organizations. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 117–122.
- Darmawan, D. (2024). Distribution of Six Major Factors Enhancing Organizational Effectiveness. *Journal of Distribution Science*, 22(4), 47–58. <https://doi.org/0.61132/mengabdi.v2i2.737>
- Dutta, D., & Mishra, S. K. (2025). Artificial intelligence-based virtual assistant and employee engagement: an empirical investigation. *Personnel Review*, 54(3), 913–934. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-03-2023-0263>
- Eddine, A. S., Syafiq, M., Bin, H., & Darmawan, D. (2021). Employee Well-being and Performance Evaluation : Integrating Quality of Work Life in HR Management. 1(2), 97–104.
- Eddine, B. A. S., & Darmawan, D. (2022). The Gamification Approach to Employee Training to Increase Engagement and Learning Effectiveness in Organizations. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 2(1), 201–206.
- Gallup. (2017). *State of the Global Workplace*. Gallup Inc.
- Hariani, M., & Mardikaningsih, R. (2024). Encouraging Employee Engagement in Sustainability Initiatives to Improve Organizational Performance and Sustainable Achievement of Social Goals. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 6(2), 6–10.
- Hariani, M., & Mardikaningsih, R. (2025). Work Schedule Flexibility and Job Satisfaction in a Global Corporate Environment. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 7(1), 12–16.
- Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., & Hayes, T. L. (2002). Business-unit-level relationship between employee satisfaction, employee engagement, and business outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(2), 268–279. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.87.2.268>
- Helalat, A., Sharari, H., Alhelalat, J., & Al-Aqrabawi, R. (2025). Transformational Leadership and Employee Performance: A Further Insight Using Work Engagement. *Economics*, 13(1), 333–352.
- Iqbal, J., & Parray, Z. A. (2025). Striking the balance: unraveling the influence of organizational culture on organization citizenship behavior with corporate social responsibility as the bridge. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 74(6), 2091–2112.
- Jangbahadur, U., Ahlawat, S., Rozera, P., & Gupta, N. (2025). The effect of AI-enabled HRM dimensions on employee engagement and sustainable organisational performance: fusion skills as a moderator. *Evidence-Based HRM: A Global Forum for Empirical Scholarship*, 13(1), 85–107.
- Kromah, M. D., Ayoko, O. B., Ashkanasy, N. M., & Irving, G. L. (2019). A qualitative study of employees’ emotions and identities during change. In *Organizational Behaviour and the Physical Environment* (pp. 287–305). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315167237-16>
- Macey, W. H., & Schneider, B. (2008). The Meaning of Employee Engagement. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 1(1), 3–30. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1754-9434.2007.0002.x>

- Malik, P., Malik, P., Meher, J. R., & Yadav, S. (2024). Assessing the relationship between AMO framework and talent retention: role of employee engagement and transformational leadership. *Journal of Organizational Effectiveness: People and Performance*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JOEPP-12-2023-0557>
- Marampa, A. M., Pongtuluran, A. K., & Pariyanti, E. (2025). From sharing to success: enhancing innovative work behavior through psychological empowerment and kinship employee engagement. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, 57(1), 99–117.
- Mardikaningsih, R., & Sinambela, E. A. (2022). Impact of Burnout and Stress on Employee Satisfaction in Work. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 2(1), 21–25. <https://ejournalisse.com/index.php/isse/article/view/25>
- Newman, A., Joseph, D. L., & Choi, S. B. (2017). Employee engagement and job performance. *Academy of Management Discoveries*, 3(2), 138–149.
- Pakpahan, H., Darmawan, D., & Rojak, J. A. (2022). Racial Discrimination and How Psychological Wellbeing and Social Engagement Impacts : A Review of the Literature on Identity , Stigma , and Coping Strategies in Multicultural Societies. 2(1), 87–94.
- Putra, A. R., & Mardikaningsih, R. (2022). Study on Employee Performance Reviewing from Leadership, Innovative Behavior and Work Engagement. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 1(3), 4–10. <https://ejournalisse.com/index.php/isse/article/view/13>
- Riza, M. F., Hutahayan, B., & Chong, H. Y. (2025). Fostering high-performing organizations in higher education: the effect of participative leadership, organizational culture, and innovation on organizational performance and commitment. *Cogent Education*, 12(1).
- Rojak, J. A. (2025). Digital Technology as a Driver of Innovative Culture and Creative Engagement of Higher Education Human Resources. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 7(3), 11–17.
- Saks, A. M. (2006). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 21(7), 600–619. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940610690169>
- Saks, A. M., & Gruman, J. A. (2014). What Do We Really Know About Employee Engagement? *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 25(2), 155–182. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrdq.21187>
- Saxena, M., & Mishra, D. K. (2025). Artificial intelligence: the way ahead for employee engagement in corporate India. *Global Knowledge, Memory and Communication*, 74(1/2), 111–127. <https://doi.org/10.1108/GKMC-09-2022-0215>
- Sibhatu, hagos B., Debela, K. L., & Kero, C. A. (2025). Effects of Green Human Resource Management Practices on Organizational Sustainability Mediated by Employee Engagement: Evidence from Ethiopian Manufacturing Industries. *International Journal of Organizational Leadership*, 23–43. <https://doi.org/10.33844/ijol.2025.60446>
- Silverman, D. (2021). *Qualitative Research* (6th ed.). SAGE Publication Ltd.
- Zahid, R. A., & Darmawan, D. (2025). Reconceptualizing Digital Literacy Dimensions As Predictors Of Academic Engagement and Success In Contemporary Higher Education. *International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology*, 7(3), 18–24.
- Zulkarnain, M. A. B., Khayru, R. K., Issalillah, F., & Cruz, C. da. (2021). Citizen Engagement in Ecological Issue Management through Participatory Practices and Community-Based Social Supports. *Journal of Social Science Studies*, 1(1), 212–232.