Journal of Industrial and Organizational Psychology



A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE DYNAMICS OF TEAM PSYCHOLOGY, ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE, MEMBER COMPOSITION, LEADERSHIP AND COLLABORATION IN IMPROVING WORK GROUP PERFORMANCE

Didit Darmawan, Antonino Pedro Marsal

Universitas Sunan Giri Surabaya, Indonesia; Instituto Boaventura De Timor Leste Correspondence: dr.diditdarmawan@gmail.com

Abstract

This study aims to critically examine the role of organizational values, team composition, leadership, motivation, and work culture in shaping behavior and the effectiveness of problem-solving within teams in organizations. Using a qualitative literature review approach, the author collected recent research findings from credible books and journals. The review results indicate that the internalization of core values and culture plays a vital role in building loyalty, cohesion, and open communication among team members. A heterogeneous team composition, combined with adaptive leadership, enhances intrinsic motivation and cross-functional collaboration effectiveness through collective learning processes. Fair reward systems and continuous training strengthen the resilience of workgroups in facing change. Managerial implications include the need for restructuring teams, consolidating human resource development programs, and reinforcing supervision systems based on a collaborative culture. This study contributes both theoretically and practically by mapping the determinants of team success in modern work environments and providing strategies to optimize group synergy and adaptation to external pressures and organizational changes.

Keywords: Organizational Values, Work Culture, Leadership, Team Motivation, Collaboration, Problem-Solving, Effective Communication.

Introduction

In the organizational domain, team dynamics and group life have long attracted the attention of researchers in industrial and organizational psychology. Interactions among individuals within a team generate both strengths and vulnerabilities that directly influence organizational success. The transformation of work structures from individual to collective is driven by the need to achieve shared goals, optimize human resources, and remain competitive in a demanding market. Therefore, understanding the internal dynamics of a team ranging from interpersonal relationships and communication to decision-making processes is essential for enhancing organizational effectiveness.

Over the decades, studies on work groups and teams in organizations have revealed a wide range of complexities, including group identity formation, leadership, conflict management, and leveraging human resource diversity. Collective identity within a team is crucial for aligning member values and behaviours to achieve desired outcomes (Rovetta et al., 2025). Interpersonal relationships foster cohesion but also increase the risk of disharmony when differing opinions are difficult to mediate. Organizational values and culture play a central role in framing member behavior, setting expectations, and nurturing intrinsic motivation that underpins group performance.

There is also a significant need to examine leadership within teams. Relational leadership models can enhance interpersonal coordination, minimize conflicts, and improve effective collaboration (Akhavan Tabassi et al., 2025). Compensation, motivation, and the ability to solve problems cognitively and adaptively serve as important catalysts for maintaining group cohesion (Madanchian, 2024). Productive teams are formed from carefully selected members, emphasizing a mix of expertise, experience, and adaptability to environmental dynamics.

Further discussion of groups and work teams must consider shifts in research paradigms. Qualitative studies offer valuable insights into dynamic interpersonal processes that often escape quantitative observation. Through such exploration, communication patterns, leadership styles, role allocation, and conflict resolution strategies within teams can be captured in detail. Deep analysis like this opens opportunities for developing new conceptual models relevant to modern organizational challenges.

Despite recognition of teams as fundamental instruments for achieving organizational objectives, several issues can impede group synergy. One critical problem is prolonged internal conflict, which can reduce productivity, create psychological fragmentation among members, and generate ambiguity in goals (Akhavan Tabassi et al., 2025; Argote, 2025). Perceptions related to role clarity, fair distribution of tasks, and feeling valued significantly influence member commitment. The absence of constructive conflict management mechanisms often results in failed collaboration.

Additionally, issues of group identity segmentation and weak integration between team and organizational identities remain persistent challenges (Levine, 2025; Rovetta et al., 2025). Differences in perceptions regarding values, goals, and expectations between management and employees—or between organizational units—can lead to feelings of alienation and decreased internal solidarity. Internalizing organizational values and culture within teams requires systematic and sustained approaches.

Other frequent challenges relate to productivity and member commitment. High turnover is often associated with toxic psychological climates, excessive competition, and unrealistic performance expectations (Huml et al., 2025). Challenges become more pronounced in highly dynamic organizational structures, requiring members with strong adaptability, problem-solving skills, and leadership that promotes collective decision-making to achieve shared goals (Oyefusi, 2022).

Studying team dynamics within organizations is increasingly crucial amid global competition. In uncertain business environments, organizations compete not only at the level of individual excellence but also in their collective capacity to create adaptive advantages. Literature reviews examining collaboration, communication, and team structures strengthen theoretical foundations and provide practical implications for improving managerial processes and organizational policies.

Sensitivity to movements, relational dynamics, and changes in team structures determines organizational sustainability and competitiveness. Empirical data from diverse organizations serve as valuable resources for developing theories and practices that cultivate healthy, creative, and actively engaged teams in achieving shared visions (Tarricone & Luca, 2002).

This study aims to critically analyze the influence of organizational values and culture on team behaviour and collaboration, and to examine how member composition, leadership style, incentives, and motivation affect cognitive and adaptive problem-solving capacity within work groups. The findings are expected to provide significant contributions to theoretical and practical knowledge in building competitive teams and strengthening group synergy in modern organizational environments.

Method

This study employs a qualitative literature review approach to explore theoretical and empirical understandings of team psychology and group dynamics within organizations. A qualitative literature review relies on the systematic collection, critical evaluation, and synthesis of secondary data from relevant sources, such as academic journals, methodology books, and prior research reports. Through this strategy, the researcher can examine concepts and frameworks related to interpersonal relationships, collaboration, and the role of organizational culture and structure in shaping group behavior (Creswell & Poth, 2018). This approach was chosen because it enables in-depth interpretation and the development of cross-case understanding based on comparative analyses from diverse multidisciplinary perspectives.

The primary data collection strategy in this qualitative literature review involves identifying primary and secondary sources through specific keywords in major academic databases and digital libraries, such as Google Scholar, JSTOR, and ProQuest. Literature selection was based on relevance, methodological quality, and data accuracy (Booth et al., 2016). Thematic analysis was applied to identify key patterns, construct meanings, and determine variables that influence group dynamics, both at micro (individual) and macro (organizational) levels. Validity and reliability were ensured through source triangulation and comparisons across existing theories and research findings (Silverman, 2021).

The main limitation of the qualitative literature review method lies in the subjectivity of analysis and dependence on the completeness and quality of available secondary data. To mitigate this, the researcher actively conducted cross-validation between references and analytical results, guided by theoretical foundations from authoritative research methodology texts, such as Miles, Huberman, & Saldaña (2014). By committing to procedural clarity, the researcher documented and classified every step of the search, selection, and data synthesis process, ensuring transparency and accountability throughout the study.

Results and Discussion

The Influence of Organizational Values and Culture on Team Behaviour and Collaboration

Organizational values and culture have long been recognized as foundational elements shaping team behavior and guiding collaboration within the workplace. Collective identity within teams is influenced not only by shared goals but also by the internalization of organizational norms, beliefs, and traditions (Rovetta et al., 2025). Organizational culture establishes unwritten rules that guide individual responses to differences, adaptation to change, and communication under uncertainty, creating harmony that enables teams to navigate internal and external challenges effectively.

Recent studies highlight that an innovative organizational culture serves as a key driver of team productivity and creativity (Nur et al., 2023). Environments that encourage openness, trust, and active participation foster proactive behaviour and seamless collaboration among members. A strong sense of ownership of organizational core values cultivates loyalty and commitment to the team, reinforcing cross-department collaboration and accelerating collective problem-solving.

Aligning individual values with organizational culture becomes especially critical in demographically and culturally diverse teams. Harmonization of norms reduces interpersonal friction and minimizes potential conflicts (Levine, 2025). Organizations must ensure that core values remain relevant and can be assimilated by all team members, bridging generational and hierarchical differences.

Literature on the psychological contract underscores the connection between employees' expectations and their cognitive and affective loyalty (Laulié et al., 2025). Mutual expectations between teams and organizations strengthen emotional attachment and commitment to collective objectives. Equitable recognition and fulfilment of expectations foster long-term trust and reduce turnover tendencies.

Leadership plays a pivotal role in internalizing organizational culture. Leaders who articulate vision, build trust, and channel collective energy act as primary drivers of value alignment within teams (Akhavan Tabassi et al., 2025; Madanchian & Taherdoost, 2019). Successful embedding of core values depends on open communication, transparency, and collective decision-making, which consolidate team cooperation.

Continuous social learning is essential for reinforcing organizational culture. Knowledge transfer and sharing of best practices among team members strengthen adaptive work cultures and foster innovation amid global competitive pressures (Argote, 2025). Integration of team identity with organizational identity is crucial for maintaining productive synergy, as misalignment can negatively affect motivation, collaboration, and goal orientation (Rovetta et al., 2025).

From an interpersonal perspective, positive reinforcement mechanisms—such as recognition for contributions—stimulate voluntary adaptive and collaborative behavior (Friedkin & Johnsen, 2011). Human resource practices like cross-functional training, intrinsic motivation enhancement, and explicit rewards further reinforce team culture (Fared & Darmawan, 2021). Such interventions expand collaboration opportunities, deepen members' understanding, and enhance cross-functional teamwork, creating a culture of continuous learning and trust.

When organizational values and culture are fully internalized, individual behavior shifts towards mutual assistance, openness to feedback, and mature collective decision-making. Optimal collaboration emerges when all members feel valued and are encouraged to contribute according to their competencies. Maintaining this balance ensures organizational stability and strengthens team identity to adapt effectively to complex environments.

Ultimately, systematic integration of organizational values and culture establishes a robust foundation for collaborative behavior and team productivity. Leaders must manage diversity without compromising core identity, communicate changes clearly to avoid resistance, and promote continuous learning, innovation, and feedback. This ongoing process enables cultural and value transformation, guiding organizations toward sustainable long-term growth.

The Influence of Team Composition, Leadership, and Motivation on Problem-Solving Effectiveness

Team composition is a critical determinant of problem-solving effectiveness, particularly when members bring diverse skills, educational backgrounds, and work experiences. Such heterogeneity fosters varied perspectives, enhancing creativity, innovation, and solution accuracy. Complementary expertise accelerates problem identification and the formulation of well-structured strategies, while collective learning and adaptive approaches strengthen team resilience in the face of organizational challenges (Oyefusi, 2022).

Leadership significantly impacts team problem-solving outcomes. Situational leadership enables managers to adapt their style to team needs, readiness, and task dynamics, while transformational leadership enhances motivation, inspiration, and emotional engagement in innovation processes (Mardikaningsih & Darmawan, 2022; Rojak & Khayru, 2022). Servant leadership emphasizes the welfare and development of team members, fostering cohesion, open idea-sharing, and collective responsibility, which facilitates adaptive problem-solving in complex organizational contexts (Irfan & Al Hakim, 2022).

Motivation drives proactive and collaborative behaviour within teams. When psychological needs such as recognition, empowerment, and a safe environment for intellectual risk-taking are met, members demonstrate stronger engagement in cognitive and adaptive problem-solving (Madanchian, 2024). Effective communication—open, transparent, and empathetic—is essential for cross-functional and multicultural teams, reducing misperceptions and conflict while facilitating knowledge transfer and harmonization of perspectives (Marsal & Darmawan, 2022; Sajjapong & Irfan, 2022).

Active participation in decision-making strengthens ownership, accountability, and adaptability, creating a collaborative environment conducive to rapid and informed problem-solving (Argote, 2025). Diversity, while a source of innovation, can also lead to conflict if unmanaged; strategies such as adaptive interaction styles, recognition of differences, and open dialogue are vital for building trust and aligning perceptions toward shared goals (Friedkin & Johnsen, 2011).

Cross-functional training, continuous skill development, and integration of new members accelerate team cohesion and adaptive problem-solving (Fared & Darmawan, 2021). Leaders play a pivotal role in fostering a learning-oriented and change-ready team atmosphere, linking individual and collective interests to the organization's vision (Madanchian, 2024; Mardikaningsih & Darmawan, 2022).

Furthermore, equitable reward systems, robust communication infrastructure, and a culture of continuous evaluation are essential to sustaining effective problem-solving processes.

Ultimately, teams with balanced composition, adaptive leadership, and strong intrinsic motivation are better equipped to navigate complex challenges and achieve collective success. These factors not only enhance cognitive and adaptive problem-solving capacity but also lay the foundation for the organization's sustainable growth and resilience.

Conclusion

This study confirms that organizational values and work culture significantly shape team members' behaviour, communication patterns, and collaborative practices. Well-managed culture fosters cohesion, strengthens trust, and facilitates knowledge transfer among members. Diverse team composition, coupled with adaptive and participatory leadership, enhances motivation and bolsters collaborative problem-solving capabilities. Effective communication, cross-functional training, and equitable reward systems are fundamental in strengthening the team's adaptive capacity in responding to environmental changes and organizational challenges.

The findings provide a strategic foundation for organizations to reconstruct managerial frameworks, including the careful selection of diverse team members, development of open communication systems, and reinforcement of cross-functional training to foster intrinsic motivation and solid collaboration. Leaders are encouraged to generate a shared vision, support a learning organization, and implement contribution-based reward systems. Balancing collective culture, incentives, and personal development opportunities drives sustainable improvements in team performance.

It is recommended that organizations continuously evaluate work culture, team structures, and HR development strategies. Enhancing inclusive and communicative leadership, strengthening crossfunctional training, and integrating objective, performance-based reward systems are crucial. Reflective practices and flexible bureaucratic procedures are essential to ensure that innovation and adaptability occur dynamically in line with the evolving global business environment.

Bibliography

- Akhavan Tabassi, A., Bryde, D. J., Michaelides, R., Bamford, D., & Argyropoulou, M. (2025). Leaders, conflict, and team coordination: a relational leadership approach in temporary organisations. Production Planning & Control, 36(6), 820-840.
- Argote, L. (2025). Group dynamics meets organizational learning: Reflections on research. Small Group Research, 56(3), 524-552.
- Booth, A., Sutton, A., & Papaioannou, D. (2016). Systematic Approaches to a Successful Literature Review (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). Qualitative Inquiry & Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Darmawan, D. (2024). Distribution of Six Major Factors Enhancing Organizational Effectiveness. Journal of Distribution Science, 22(4), 47-58.
- Fared, M. A. & Darmawan, D. (2021). Design and Implementation of Cross-Functional Training to Improve Team Collaboration, Journal of Social Science Studies, 1(1), 215–220.
- Friedkin, N. E., & Johnsen, E. C. (2011). Social Influence Network Theory: A Sociological Examination of Small Group Dynamics. Cambridge University Press.
- Huml, M. R., Kim, M., Black, W. L., & Taylor, E. A. (2025). Effect of toxicity and competitive psychological climate on team orientation and turnover intentions within sport employees. European Sport Management Quarterly, 1-19.
- Irfan, M. & Al Hakim, Y. R. (2022). Practicing Servant Leadership in Improving Team Effectiveness: Critical Success Factors and Impact on Organizational Performance, Journal of Social Science Studies, 2(2), 115–122.

- Laulié, L., Tekleab, A. G., & Rousseau, D. M. (2025). Psychological contracts at different levels: The cross-level and comparative multilevel effects of team psychological contract fulfillment. Group & Organization Management, 50(3), 1020-1060.
- Levine, J. M. (2025). Intragroup processes in social psychology: My past, our present, your future. Small Group Research, 56(3), 367-391.
- Madanchian, M. (2025). Leadership Dynamics in Innovative Teams. In Mastering Innovation in Business (pp. 103-130). IGI Global.
- Mardikaningsih, R. & Darmawan, D. (2022). Situational Leadership Strategies to Improve Change Management and Team Performance, Journal of Social Science Studies, 2(1), 247–252.
- Marsal, A. P. & Darmawan, D. (2022). Communication Strategies in Managing Conflict in Multicultural Teams, Journal of Social Science Studies, 2(2), 285–290.
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2014). Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Sourcebook (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Nur, Z., Ismail, A. B., & Mardikaningsih, R. (2023). The Managing Role in Creating Cultures of Innovation and Team Creativity. Journal of Social Science Studies, 3(1), 153–158.
- Oyefusi, F. (2022). Team and group dynamics in organizations: Effect on productivity and performance. Journal of Human Resource and Sustainability Studies, 10(1), 111-122.
- Rojak, J. A. & Khayru, R. K. (2022). The Effect of Transformational Leadership Style on Team Performance: Implications for Collaboration, Motivation, and Effectiveness Enhancement Strategies, Journal of Social Science Studies, 2(2), 13–20.
- Rovetta, A., Bortolotti, A., & Palumbo, R. (2025). Integrating team and organizational identity: a systematic literature analysis. Frontiers in Organizational Psychology, 2, 1439269.
- Sajjapong, T. & Irfan, M. (2022). Understanding Communication Practices for Managing Global Teams Across Diverse Cultural Frameworks, Journal of Social Science Studies, 2(2), 267–272.
- Silverman, D. (2021). Qualitative Research (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Tarricone, P., & Luca, J. (2002). Successful Teamwork: A Case Study.